UNCLASSIFIED – Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.



Injury Epidemiological Investigations in Army Special Populations

Anna Renner, PhD

January 23, 2024

Disclaimer

The views expressed in this presentation are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the official policy of the Department of Defense, Defense Health Agency, or the U.S. Government.

The mention of any non-federal entity and/or its products is for informational purposes only, and is not to be construed or interpreted, in any manner, as federal endorsement of that non-federal entity or its products.





UNCLASSIFIED – Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. Injury Prevention Epidemiologic Investigations & Special Studies

- Assessments of injuries and injury risk for:
 - Infantry units
 - Military police
 - Army Wheeled Vehicle Mechanics
 - Medical (Leonard Wood Army Community Hospital staff)
 - Armor Brigade
 - Chemical Brigade
 - Paratroopers
 - Quartermaster Brigade
 - U.S. Army Band
 - Special Operations Forces

- Army War College
- Command and General Staff College
- Sergeants Major Course
- Ordnance School
- Combat Medic Advanced Individual Training
- Military Working Dogs
- Federal Bureau of Investigation
- Running Shoe Study: Marine Corps Officer Basic Training, Air Force Basic Military Training



Special Population #1: U.S. Army Band







Background

- Fulfilled a 2018 request from unit Commanders to <u>investigate</u> <u>musculoskeletal injuries</u> among members in the 42S MOS in the Military District of Washington/National Capital Region (MDW/NCR):
 - The U.S. Army Band (TUSAB), The U.S. Army Field Band (TUSAFB), The U.S. Army Old Guard Fife & Drum Corps (OGFDC)
- Unique population injuries and risk factors may differ from other Army populations
- Extends previous work by DCPH-A Injury Prevention, 2004-2005





Demographics (n=465 Army Band Members, rosters)

Variable	Characteristic	All Band Members n=465 n (%)
Sov	Female	113 (24.3)
JEX	Male	352 (75.7)
	18-24	12 (2.6)
	25-34	144 (31.0)
Age (years)	35-44	192 (41.2)
	≥ 45	117 (25.2)
	Mean ± SD	38.9 ± 8.0
	E1-E4	4 (0.9)
Donk	E5-E9	449 (96.6)
	01-06	9 (1.9)
	W1-W5	3 (0.6)

Instruments were predominantly brass and woodwinds in all groups; more percussion in Old Guard Fife & Drum





Unique Population Characteristics

	Impacts on injuries and injury risk factors
Older average age (39 ± 8)	
24% female	S.
Extended standing, sitting, marching	ese
Non-neutral playing postures	Gue
Loading/unloading instruments and equipment for performances (*some)	

Discussion: How might these unique population characteristics impact injuries and injury risks?







Results: U.S. Army Band Injuries

- Medical record
 - n=766 medical record injuries, October 2017-December 2018
 - 71% of injuries were MSK overuse







Injury Rates and Prevalence, October 2017–2018

	All Band Members n=465	All Army n=465k
Crude injury rate per 1,000 person-years	1,328	1,821
Age- and gender-adjusted injury rate per 1,000 person-years	1,040	1,821
Percent with at least one medical encounter for injury	60	56
Total medical encounters for injuries (including follow-up visits and long-term effects of MSK injuries)	1,919	2.2M





Results: U.S. Army Band Injuries

- Self-reported via electronic survey
 - 48% response rate (n=221, total n=465)
 - 80% of respondents reported at least one injury in the previous 12 months (n=176)
 - Most frequently injured body regions were the lower back (26%), foot/toe (13%) and knee (9%)

Top activities associated with injury

(self-report, n=174 injuries)

21% running for physical training

11% repetitive movements associated with playing

11% standing while practicing, rehearsing, or performing

Top mechanisms of injury

(self-report, n=174 injuries)

30% band-related overuse/repetitive motions25% other overuse/repetitive motions15% overexertion/over-extension/twisting effort





UNCLASSIFIED – Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

Results: Risk Factors for Injury among U.S. Army Band Musicians (Multivariable, Men Only)

		Total n	% with any injury	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	Category p-values
Fitness test 2-mile	<15.4 minutes	100	63%	Ref	
run time	15.4–16.9 minutes	101	70%	1.33 (0.73-2.42)	0.36
	>16.9 minutes	102	84%	2.23 (1.07-4.67)	0.04
	No data	49	90%	N/A	N/A
Estimated body fat	<20.5	113	69%	Ref	
percentage	20.5-23.5	120	68%	0.87 (0.48-1.63)	0.66
	>23.5	117	89%	2.30 (1.02-5.18)	0.04
	No data	2	0%	N/A	N/A
Age (years)	18-34	56	79%	Ref	
	35-44	64	86%	0.88 (0.48-1.63)	0.69
	≥45	38	92%	1.04 (0.47-2.31)	0.93



Improving Health and Building Readiness. Anytime, Anywhere — Always



11

UNCLASSIFIED – Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

Recommended Injury Prevention Strategies U.S. Army Band Musicians

- Increase physical training, with a variety of activities
 - Leadership support needed, scheduled around occupational expectations (rehearsals, performances, practicing, etc.)
 - Armed Forces Wellness Center services can be utilized for training support and education
 - Support from athletic trainers, physical therapists, occupational therapists have been shown to improve injury risk among musicians
- Request ergonomic assessments to address non-neutral and maladaptive postures
- Use relaxation techniques, take breaks while rehearsing





Other interesting findings - U.S. Army Band Musicians

Army Band Soldiers had comparable average BMI (26kg/m² men, 24kg/m² women) and lower proportion of obese (12% men; 7% women), compared to the overall Army 2017 U.S. Army Active Component, % obese by age





Discussion

• Thoughts, insights, questions about injuries among Army Band members?





Special Population #2: U.S. Army Reserves







Background

- Fulfilled a 2020 request from the U.S. Army Reserve Command to investigate impacts of a new fitness program Pilot among 21 selected Reserve units in the southeast U.S.
 - Baseline phase 2021 summarize pre-implementation injuries, risk factors, health behaviors
 - Follow-up phase 2023 in progress; will compare injuries, risk factors, and health behaviors before and after new fitness program
- Unique population not typically included in Army medical surveillance; sparse literature about injuries and fitness among Reservists





Background

- A novel Pilot program was necessary for the geographically-diverse and part-time Reserve population
- USARC Pilot design includes two groups with differing degrees of support, plus a comparison group

Year 1: 1 AUG 2021 Year 2: 1 AUG 2022	<u>Pilot A</u> Pilot A and B common elements in red	<u>Pilot B</u>	<u>Control Group</u>
Participating Units	7 units, southeast U.S.	7 units, southeast U.S.	7 units, southeast U.S.
<u>Pilot Resources</u>	 Virtual Holistic Health and Fitness (H2F) education 2x/year, 8 hours via Contracted H2F team (Year 1) Access to CoachMe Plus- mobile app to deliver customized physical training programs (Year 1) Access to H2F Education via All Partners Access Network (APAN) website Link to Troop Program Unit (TPU) Physical Therapist, Occupational Therapist, and Dietitian 1:100 Instructor-to-Soldier ratio for Master Fitness Trainers (MFTs)/Master Resilience Trainers (MRTs) Human Performance Advisor Eight 90-minute education sessions by O2X[®] (Year 2) O2X[®] mobile application (Year 2) 3-day H2F Summit events, education, resources, and Individual assessments provided on H2F domains (Year 2) 	 Access to H2F Education via APAN website Link to TPU Physical Therapist, Occupational Therapist, and Dietitian 1:100 Instructor-to-Soldier ratio for MFT/MRT Human Performance Advisor O2X[®] mobile application (Year 2) 3-day H2F Summit events, education, resources, and Individual assessments provided on H2F domains (Year 2) 	No access to H2F Pilot Resources



Improving Health and Building Readiness. Anytime, Anywhere — Always

17

Demographics summary (n=2,095 survey respondents)

- 73% male, 27% female
- Average age 31 ± 10 years
- Average BMI 27 ± 4 kg/m2
- 60% white, 28% black, 12% other
- 36% Bachelor's degree or higher
- 47% E1-E4
- 50% Single/never married
- Leading military occupations: military police (21%), medical (21%), engineers (19%)





Unique Population Characteristics

	Impacts on injuries and injury risk factors
Older average age (31 ± 10)	
27% female	ces!
Higher average BMI	11ess-
Little or no unit training	Gv

Discussion: How might these unique population characteristics impact injuries and injury risks?





Results: U.S. Army Reservist Injuries

- Did not focus on medical records; Reservists can seek care outside of the Military Health System
- Self-reported via electronic survey
 - 61% response rate (n=2,095, total n=3,414)
 - 30% of respondents reported at least one injury in the previous 12 months (n=626)

Leading injury types	Leading injured body areas	Leading injury activities
Strained muscle (19%)	Lower back (21%)	Running (22%)
Sprained joint (12%)	Knee (20%)	Weightlifting (18%)
Pain in body part (11%)	Shoulder (12%)	Lifting heavy objects (12%)



Improving Health and Building Readiness. Anytime, Anywhere — Always

20

Results: Risk Factors for Injury among U.S. Army Reservists

Among men (n=1,517), independent factors significantly associated with a PT-limiting injury were:

- Age ≥35 (p<0.01)
- High overweight or obese BMI (≥27.5, p<0.01)
- ≤5 hours of sleep per night on weekdays (p<0.01)
- No weekly sprint training (p=0.03)
- Moderate weekly exercise minutes for PT (156–360 minutes, p<0.01)

Among women (n=563), independent factors significantly associated with PTlimiting injury were:

- Age ≥35 (p=0.01)
- Obese BMI (≥30, p=0.02)
- Moderate or high perceived stress (p=0.02)





UNCLASSIFIED – Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. **Recommended Injury Prevention Strategies U.S. Army Reservists**

- Will be based on follow-up phase comparisons assessing the impacts of the new fitness program
 - Will assess impacts of lower fitness and higher body mass







Other interesting findings - U.S. Army Reservists

- This Reserves population had lower proportions of Soldiers reporting tobacco use, compared to the overall Army
- A higher proportion of Reservists were obese (27% of men, 17% of women), compared to AD Army
- Lower proportion of Reservists passed their most recent ACFT test (78% of men, 38% of women), compared to AD Army







Discussion

 Thoughts, insights, questions about injuries among Army Reservists?





UNCLASSIFIED – Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

Special Population #3: Employees at the General Leonard Wood Army Community Hospital (GLWACH)





Improving Health and Building Readiness. Anytime, Anywhere — Always



25

Background

- Fulfilled a 2014 request from GLWACH leadership to <u>investigate injuries and</u> <u>health behaviors</u> among hospital staff
- Unique population employees include both civilians and Active-Duty







Demographics summary (n=380 survey respondents)

- 44% male, 56% female
- 47% were 26-39 years
- 53% military, 45% DA Civilians, 2% other
- 49% Bachelor's degree or higher
- A variety of occupations were represented, including nurses (16%), hospital administration staff (14%), medics (13%), technicians (10%), physicians (6%), and pharmacists (2%)





Unique Population Characteristics

	Impacts on injuries and injury risk factors
Older average age (47% were 26-39)	£.
56% female	65562
Variety of occupations represented	GUC

Discussion: How might these unique population characteristics impact injuries and injury risks?





Results: Military Hospital Employee Injuries

- Did not focus on medical records, civilian medical records not captured in the Military Health System
- Self-reported via electronic survey
 - 33% response rate (n=380, total n=1,147)
 - 47% of respondents reported at least one injury in the previous 12 months (n=178)

Leading injury types	Leading injured body areas	Leading injury activities
Sprain/strain (30%)	Knee (22%)	Running (34%)
Tear (14%)	Lower back (17%)	Other physical training (11%)
Dislocation (8%)	Shoulder (9%)	Lifting heavy objects (9%)





Results: Risk Factors for Injury among Military Hospital Employees

Variable	Variable category	Total n	% injured	Injury OR (95% CI)	Category p-value
Employee	Enlisted	117	60%	2.75 (1.32-5.71)	< 0.01
status	Officer	88	47%	2.98 (1.44-6.17)	< 0.01
	DA civilian	169	38%	1.00	
	Other	6	50%	1.11 (0.18-6.72)	0.91
Occupational	Nurse	61	39%	1.00	
group	Physician	23	13%	0.11 (0.03-0.46)	<0.01
	Technician	38	58%	2.08 (0.79-5.50)	0.14
	Pharmacy	8	50%	1.00 (0.18-5.57)	>0.99
	Medic	50	58%	1.43 (0.51-4.00)	0.50
	Other Medical Providers	77	53%	1.35 (0.59-3.10)	0.48
	Admin	53	47%	1.79 (0.77-4.18)	0.18
	Other non- medical/Unspecified	70	43%	1.57 (0.69-3.54)	0.28





UNCLASSIFIED – Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

Results: Risk Factors for Injury among Military Hospital Employees (continued)

Variable	Variable category	Total n	% injured	Injury OR (95% CI)	Category p- value
Stress	Yes	119	58%	2.10 (1.30-3.40)	< 0.01
	No	261	42%	1.00	
Education level	GED or High School	86	48%	1.32 (0.65-2.64)	0.44
	Associate's	80	58%	2.32 (1.16-4.65)	0.02
	Bachelor's	83	38%	1.00	
	Master's or	102	43%	1.87 (0.93-3.76)	0.08
	Doctorate				
	Other Professional	29	52%	2.27 (0.87-5.90)	0.09
	Degree				



HEALTH TO A

UNCLASSIFIED – Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. Recommended Injury Prevention Strategies Military Hospital Employees

- Leadership should focus on initiatives to address sprains and strains, from activities like heavy lifting and physical training
- Health education should focus on health behaviors contributing to injury risk, like stress management
- Targeted prevention strategies could be used for subpopulations at greater risk (e.g., Active Duty)



Improving Health and Building Readiness. Anytime, Anywhere — Always



32

Other interesting findings - Military Hospital Employees

- Also looked at barriers to physical activity
- ≥4 reported barriers to physical activity were associated with lower perceived health
- Leading reported barriers to physical activity:
 - Lack of time (65% of respondents)
 - Lack of motivation (45%)
 - Pain or previous medical condition (27%)





Discussion

• Thoughts, insights, questions about injuries among military hospital employees?





Special Population #4: U.S. Army Road Marching Participants







Background

- Sub-analysis of an investigation of injuries among Soldiers in an infantry brigade, exploring road marching injuries
- Unique population road marching participants only







Demographics summary (n=831 survey respondents)

Variable	Category	n (% of total)
	Female	6 (1)
Gender	Male	825 (99)
$\Lambda \sigma (v \circ \sigma r \circ)$	18-24	474 (57)
Age (years)	25-34	297 (36)
Mean age: 25±5	35-54	60 (7)
	E1-E4	553 (67)
Rank	E5-E9	200 (24)
	01-05	78 (9)
	Combat Arms	681 (82)
Occupation	Combat Services	41 (5)
	Combat Services Support	108 (13)





Unique Population Characteristics

	Impacts on injuries and injury risk factors
Infantry unit	es.
All males	65562
Frequent road marching participation	GUE

Discussion: How might these unique population characteristics impact injuries and injury risks?





UNCLASSIFIED – Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

Results: Leading Injury Activities in an Infantry Brigade (n=831 survey respondents)

• Road marching was the second highest reported cause of injury

Activity associated with injury	Total injuries n (%)	# injuries resulting in limited duty n (%)	Total limited duty days	Average # limited duty days per injury
Physical training (<u>running</u>)	113 (27)	74 (32)	2,514 (28)	31
Road marching	96 (23)	49 (21)	1,840 (20)	33
Physical training (weightlifting)	43 (10)	19 (8)	678 (8)	36
Lifting or moving heavy objects	35 (8)	18 (8)	957 (11)	49
Other physical training	31 (8)	17 (7)	482 (5)	28



Improving Health and Building Readiness. Anytime, Anywhere — Always



39

Results: Injury Rates per Mile of Exposure

- Commanders may be tempted to replace running with road marching to address high injury rates during running
- In this population, the relative risk of injury during road marching was higher

Activity associated with injury	Total injuries in prior 6 months	Total injuries resulting in limited duty in prior 6 months	Total miles exposed in prior 6 months	Rate of injury per 10,000 miles	Rate of injury per 10,000 miles resulting in limited duty per mile	Relative risk of injury (95% Cl)	Relative risk of injury resulting in limited duty (95% CI)
Running	113	74	347,537	3.3	2.1	-	-
Road marching	96	49	163,392	5.9	3.0	1.81 (1.38-2.37)	1.41 (0.98-2.02)





Results: Risk Factors for Injuries during Road Marching

ALL ROAD MARCHING PARTICIPANTS

- Age ≥ 35
- Regular occupational lifting 50–100+ pounds
- ≤4 miles of running per week during personal PT
- Road marching ≥5 times per month

As road marching mileage

increased, concurrent

participation in other physical

activities became a risk factor for

injury during road marching

- Wearing >25% of body weight during road marching

LOW MILEAGE

(1–19 miles per month)

- Rank E5–E9
- Current smokeless tobacco user

MODERATE MILEAGE

(20-32 miles per month)

- Rank 01-05
- No personal PT running participation

HIGH MILEAGE

(≥33 miles per month)

- Regular occupational lifting 50–100+ pounds
- <1-4 miles of running per week during personal PT
- >31 minutes of resistance training per session during personal PT
- Wearing >25% of body weight during marching





UNCLASSIFIED – Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. Recommended Injury Prevention Strategies Road Marching

- Establish a balanced, scheduled training program
 - Include a variety of exercises: aerobic, anaerobic (strength, endurance, power), agility, balance
- Use gradual progression for road march training
 - Follow recommendations in Army Field Manual 7-22 and Army Field Manual 21-18

Week	Recommended Load	Distance (miles)	Time Standard	Pace	
1-2	15–20 lb	2	35 min +/- 5 min	3 mph	
4	25–30 lb	4	65 min +/- 5 min	3 mph	
6	30–35 lb	4	90 min +/- 5 min	3 mph	
8	50–60 lb	6	None	3 mph	
12	60–70 lb	6	None	3 mph	
16	60–80 lb	6	None	3.5 mph	
20	60–80 lb	8	None	3.5 mph	
Ib pounds mins minutes mph miles per hour					

Table 7-3. 20-week ruck marching progression





Other interesting findings - Road marching

- In another recent exploration, road marching injuries among men and women were compared
- Women reported significantly more hip injuries during road marching, compared to men

	Male				Female	Relative risk of	
	#	# Road	Rate of	#	# Road	Rate of	road marching
	Injuries	marching	Injuries	Injuries	marching	Injuries	injury
		participants	per		participants	per	Female : Male
			1,000			1,000	(95% CI)
			soldiers			soldiers	
Hip injuries	5	2,677	2	5	441	11	6.07
during road							(1.76-20.97)
marching							





Discussion

• Thoughts, insights, questions about road marching injuries?





Conclusions

- It's important to explore injuries and injury risks in unique military populations to characterize population-specific injury problems
- Surveys enhance existing data and provide necessary details to inform injury investigations in special populations
- Many common Active-Duty Army injury risk factors have also applied in special Army populations (e.g., older age, low fitness, obesity, tobacco use), even when population demographics and exposures differ greatly from the overall Army





References for highlighted injury investigations

Army Band

- Technical Report (2021): <u>https://apps.dtic.mil/sti/pdfs/AD1134062.pdf</u>
- Manuscript (2023): In Press, Medical Problems of Performing Artists
- Previous investigation:
 - Technical Report: <u>https://apps.dtic.mil/sti/citations/ADA462210</u>
 - Manuscript: <u>https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0958259210000908</u>
 - Manuscript: <u>https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/ajim.20532</u>





References for highlighted injury investigations

Army Reserves

- Baseline Technical Information Paper (2023): <u>https://apps.dtic.mil/sti/trecms/pdf/AD1196570.pdf</u>
- Final Technical Report anticipated Fall 2024

Fort Leonard Wood Hospital Employees

- Technical Report (2016): <u>https://apps.dtic.mil/sti/pdfs/ADA633025.pdf</u>
- Manuscript (Workplace Health & Safety, 2018): <u>https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/full/10.1177/2165079917736069</u>
- Manuscript (AMEDD Journal, 2018) <u>https://medcoeckapwstorprd01.blob.core.usgovcloudapi.net/pfw-images/dbimages/Jan-Jun2018.pdf</u>





References for highlighted injury investigations

Road Marching

- Technical Report (2017): <u>https://apps.dtic.mil/sti/pdfs/AD1031539.pdf</u>
- Manuscript (Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport, 2017): <u>https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/full/10.1177/2165079917736069</u>



